

ITEM NO.5+56

Court No.5

SECTION PIL

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 494 OF 2012

JUSTICE K.S.PUTTASWAMY(RETD)&amp; ANR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay)

WITH T.P.(C) NO. 47-48 of 2013

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

(Appln. for deletion of the name of petitioner no. 1)

T.P.(C) NO. 476 of 2013

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

W.P.(C) No. 829 of 2013

(With appln(s) for interim relief and office report)

Date: 23/09/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Anil B. Divan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Ankit Goel, Adv.

Mr. Ranvir Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Anish Kumar Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Deepshikha Bharati, Adv.

Mr. S.S. Shamschery, Adv.

Mr. Rajeev Kr. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Adv.

Mr. P.R. Kovilan Poongkuntran, Adv.

Mrs. Geetha Kovilan, Adv.

Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv.

Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, Adv.

Mr. Varun Singh, Adv.  
 Mr. Gaurav Nair, Adv.  
 for M/s. K.J. John & Co.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mohan Parasaran, SG  
 Mr. L. Nageshwar Rao, ASG  
 Mr. Farrukh Rasheed, Adv.  
 Mr. Alok Mishra, Adv.  
 Mr. D.S. Mahra ,Adv

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
 O R D E R

Issue notice in W.P.(C) No. 829/2013.

Application for deletion of the name of petitioner no. 1 in  
 T.P.(C) Nos. 47 of 2013 is allowed.

T.P.(C)nos. 47-48 of 2013 and T.P.(C) No. 476 of 2013 are  
 allowed in terms of the signed order.

All the matters require to be heard finally. List all  
 matters for final hearing after the Constitution Bench is over.

In the meanwhile, no person should suffer for not getting  
 the Adhaar card inspite of the fact that some authority had issued  
 a circular making it mandatory and when any person applies to get  
 the Adhaar Card voluntarily, it may be checked whether that person  
 is entitled for it under the law and it should not be given to any  
 illegal immigrant.

	(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)		(M.S. NEGI)	
	Court Master		Court Master	

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 47-48 OF 2013

THE GOVT. OF INDIA & ORS. ETC. ....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

S. RAJU & ANR. ETC. ....Respondent(s)

WITH

TRANSFER PETITION(CIVIL) NO(s). 476 OF 2013

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, we are satisfied that this is a fit case where the prayer for transfer is to be allowed.

On the facts of the case, we allow these Transfer Petitions and direct that W.P(C) No. 439 of 2012 titled S. Raju Vs. Govt. of India and Others pending before the D.B. of the High Court of Judicature at Madras and PIL No. 10 of 2012 titled Vickram Crishna and Others Vs. UIDAI and Others pending before the High Court of Judicature at Bombay be transferred to this Court. The Registry of the High Court of Madras and Registry of the High Court of Bombay are requested to transmit the original records to this Court expeditiously.

These Transfer Petitions are accordingly allowed.

.....J.  
(Dr. B.S. CHAUHAN)

.....J.  
(S.A. BOBDE)

NEW DELHI;  
SEPTEMBER 23, 2013.